



**Meeting Agenda – STAMP Committee**  
 Genesee County Economic Development Center  
 Tuesday, August 4, 2020  
 Location: Electronically  
 10:30 a.m.

Page #s	Topic	Discussion Leader	Desired Outcome
	<b>1. Call to Order – Enter Public Session</b>  Because of the Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) Emergency and State and Federal bans on large meetings or gatherings and pursuant to Governor Cuomo's Executive Order 202.1 issued on March 12, 2020 suspending the Open Meetings Law, this Meeting is being held electronically via conference call / video conference instead of a public meeting open for the public to attend in person.	A. Young	
	<b>2a. Executive Session</b> Motion to enter executive session under the Public Officers Law, Article 7, Open Meetings Law Section 105 for the following reasons: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The proposed acquisition, sale or lease of real property or the proposed acquisition of securities, or sale or exchange of securities held by such public body, but only when publicity would substantially affect the value thereof.</li> <li>2. The medical, financial, credit or employment history of a particular person or corporation, or matters leading to the appointment, employment, promotion, demotion, discipline, suspension, dismissal or removal of a particular person or corporation.</li> </ol> <b>2b. Enter Public Session</b>	A. Young	Vote
2-4	<b>3. Chairman's Report &amp; Activities</b>  3a. Agenda Additions / Deletions / Other Business  3b. Minutes: June 30, 2020	A. Young	Vote
5-28	<b>4. Discussions / Official Recommendations to the Board:</b>  4a. Lead Agency SEQR Update	M. Masse / A. Walters (Phillips Lytle)	Disc / Vote
29-30	4b. Water Works / Sewer Works Formation Update	M. Masse	Discussion
	5. Adjournment	A. Young	Vote



**GCEDC STAMP Committee Meeting**  
**Tuesday, June 30, 2020**  
**Location: Electronically**  
**10:30 a.m.**

**MINUTES**

**ATTENDANCE**

Committee Members: A. Young, C. Yunker, A. Vanderhoof, P. Zeliff  
Staff: L. Farrell, M. Masse, L. Casey, J. Krencik, C. Suozzi, S. Hyde  
Guests: A. Walters (Phillips Lytle)  
Absent:

**1. Call to Order / Enter Public Session**

A. Young called the meeting to order at 10:33 a.m. via conference call / video conference.

Because of the Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) Emergency and State and Federal bans on large meetings or gatherings and pursuant to Governor Cuomo's Executive Order 202.1 issued on March 12, 2020 suspending the Open Meetings Law, this Meeting is being held electronically via conference call instead of a public meeting open for the public to attend in person.

**2. Executive Session**

A. Vanderhoof made a motion to enter executive session under the Public Officers Law, Article 7, Open Meetings Law Section 105, at 10:34 a.m., for the following reasons:

1. The proposed acquisition, sale or lease of real property or the proposed acquisition or securities, or sale or exchange of securities held by such public body, but only when publicity would substantially affect the value thereof.

The motion was seconded by C. Yunker and approved by all members present.

**Re-Enter Public Session**

C. Yunker made a motion to enter back into public session at 11:13 a.m., seconded by A. Vanderhoof and approved by all.

**3. Chairman's Report & Activities**

**3a. Agenda Additions / Other Business**

**3b. Minutes: March 24, 2020**

C. Yunker made a motion to approve the minutes from March 24, 2020; the motion was seconded by P. Zeliff. Roll call resulted as follows:

P. Zeff - Yes  
A. Young - Yes  
C. Yunker - Yes  
A. Vanderhoof - Yes

The item was approved as presented.

**4. Discussions / Official Recommendations to the Board:**

**4a. Lead Agency SEGQ Update Process Review** – M. Masse stated that the GCEDC is required to do a SEQRA (State Environmental Quality Review Act) update related to STAMP. In 2012, the GCEDC issued an initial environmental impact statement, which had the assumption of 3 million gallons per day of water and sewer. In 2016 the statement was updated with an increase for Project Eagle / 1366. Now, with a better understanding of the design and engineering along with the route analysis for large water and sewer, as well as the substation, the GCEDC must complete another SEQRA update to encompass these known modifications. Last month, the GCEDC sent out an intent to remain lead agency status. This has not been contested. The NYSDEC did allow the GCEDC to remain as Lead Agency.

M. Masse then introduced A. Walters (Phillips Lytle) to discuss the responsibility of the Committee and Board as related to the SEQRA update. A. Walters reviewed key points and specific project modifications outlined in his memo to M. Masse dated June 25, 2020. This memo was included with the meeting materials. A. Walters noted that the SEQRA update is comprehensive and a critical part of making the infrastructure permit ready. The Tech Team developed documentation and analysis on potential environmental issues involved with these modifications. There will be a few more reports to review, specifically, archeological reports, before action is taken on the SEQRA update. Reports have been provided to all interested and involved agencies. More detail on those reports and any comments from any of those interested and involved agencies will be summarized for the Committee and Board to review. The Committee and Board is required to take a “hard look” at these changes to see if there are any significant adverse environmental impacts that are not covered in the environmental impact statement. Finally, if ready, A. Walters will present a resolution or determination for the STAMP Committee to consider next month.

**4b. Resolution to Submit an Application for Transportation & Utility Systems & Facilities on Federal Lands** – The GCEDC has completed the NEPA process with the US Fish and Wildlife Service for the Iroquois Refuge and received a favorable compatibility determination for our force main project for STAMP to a proposed direct discharge location in Oak Orchard Creek in Shelby Center. The next step is to submit an Application for Transportation and Utility Systems and Facilities on Federal lands to receive our permit for construction. One of the requirements is to have the Board pass a resolution authorizing the submission of the application.

Resolution No. 07/20 – 04

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE GENESEE COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPEMNT AGENCY D/B/A GENESEE COUNTY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CENTER (THE “AGENCY”) TO EXECUTE CERTAIN APPLICATION DOCUMENTS IN CONNECTION WITH A CERTAIN PROJECT.

**P. Zeliff made a motion to recommend to the full Board the approval of the Resolution to Submit an Application for Transportation & Utility Systems & Facilities on Federal Lands; the motion was seconded by A. Vanderhoof. Roll call resulted as follows:**

P. Zeliff - Yes  
A. Young - Yes  
C. Yunker - Yes  
A. Vanderhoof- Yes

**The item was approved as presented.**

**4c. Global Location Strategies – STAMP Site Analysis Consulting** – In preparation for the next phase of marketing of the WNY STAMP site and the STAMP North and STAMP South campuses to companies, the GCEDC has engaged with Global Location Strategies (GLS) on a proposal for a reverse site search. The project would commence in August 2020 and provide the GCEDC with a deep evaluation of our site readiness, site marketing, and industry targets as STAMP. GLS is a leading site selection and location advisory firm, and their leadership proposes to perform a site asset analysis, a target industry audit, a site competitiveness analysis, and a marketing evaluation over three phases. Recommendations to the GCEDC will be provided throughout the process and at completion of the reverse site search. The project is intended to confirm STAMP's market placement and provide prioritized guidance as the GCEDC staff prepares delivery of site readiness, site infrastructure, project financing structures, and site marketing for a launch to market for projects at the shovel-ready 400-acre STAMP South Campus and 850-acre STAMP North campus. Based on the project proposal, and a requested National Grid matching grant, the estimated cost of all phases would be covered by the GCEDC's 2020 budget.

**C. Yunker made a motion to recommend to the full Board authorization to contract with Global Location Strategies for up to \$55,000, contingent upon a 50% matching grant from National Grid; the motion was seconded by P. Zeliff. Roll call resulted as follows:**

P. Zeliff - Yes  
A. Young - Yes  
C. Yunker - Yes  
A. Vanderhoof- Yes

**The item was approved as presented.**

### **5. Adjournment**

As there was no further business, A. Vanderhoof made a motion to adjourn at 11:25 a.m., seconded by C. Yunker and passed unanimously.

3b

4



RESOLUTION OF THE GENESEE COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT  
AGENCY D/B/A GENESEE COUNTY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CENTER  
PURSUANT TO THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW ACT  
CONCERNING THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS TO WESTERN NEW YORK  
SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY ADVANCED MANUFACTURING PARK

**Project Name:** Proposed Improvements to Western New York Science & Technology Advanced Manufacturing Park  
**Location:** Town of Alabama, Genesee County, NY; Town of Shelby, Orleans County, NY (see location map attached to EAF, defined below) (“**Site**”)

WHEREAS, the Genesee County Industrial Development Agency d/b/a the Genesee County Economic Development Center (“**GCEDC**”), in conjunction with the Genesee Gateway Local Development Corporation (“**GGLDC**”), the non-profit real estate affiliate of the GCEDC (collectively, “**Agency**”), have been working for more than a decade on the development of the Western New York Science & Technology Advanced Manufacturing Park (“**STAMP**” or the “**Project**”), an advanced manufacturing technology campus on approximately 1,262 acres located on the west side of New York State Route 63/77, approximately five miles north of the I-90/New York State Thruway (“**STAMP Site**”) in the Town of Alabama, New York (“**Town**”), and

WHEREAS, in connection with the Project, in August of 2019, the Agency circulated a notice of intent to re-establish itself as lead agency pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (“**SEQR**”) for purposes of undertaking certain infrastructure modifications to the Project. All involved agencies consented, or did not respond and were deemed to have consented, to the Agency acting as lead agency and thus, the Agency has properly been established as the lead agency for purposes of these infrastructure improvements.

WHEREAS, since August 2019, there have been some changes to the infrastructure plans based on various factors including an effort to mitigate potential adverse environmental impacts, as well as other Project related changes that the Agency is obligated to consider in an updated SEQR process (hereinafter, “**Project Modifications**”).

WHEREAS, the Agency is authorized and empowered by the provisions of the Chapter 1030 of the Laws of 1969 of New York, constituting Title 1 of Article 18-A of the General Municipal Law, Chapter 24 of the Consolidated Laws of New York, as amended (the “**Enabling Act**”) and Chapter 71 of the 1972 Laws of New York, as amended, constituting Section 895-e of said General Municipal Law (said Chapter and the Enabling Act being hereinafter collectively referred to as the “**Act**”) to promote, develop, encourage and assist in the acquiring, constructing, renovating, improving,

maintaining, equipping and furnishing of commercial facilities, among others, for the purpose of promoting, attracting and developing economically sound commerce and industry to advance the job opportunities, health, general prosperity and economic welfare of the people of the State of New York, to improve their prosperity and standard of living, and to prevent unemployment and economic deterioration; and

WHEREAS, to accomplish its stated purposes, the Agency is authorized and empowered under the Act to acquire, construct, reconstruct and install one or more "projects" (as defined in the Act) or to cause said projects to be acquired, constructed, reconstructed and installed, and to convey said projects or to lease said projects with the obligation to purchase; and

WHEREAS, in 2010, the Agency commenced preparation of a generic environmental impact statement consisting of the Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement ("**DGEIS**") accepted by the Agency on April 14, 2011, the Final Generic Environmental Impact Statement ("**FGEIS**") accepted by the Agency on January 19, 2012, as well as the public comments on the DGEIS received at the May 12, 2011, public hearing and during the public comment period which was conducted from April 21, 2011, through June 23, 2011. (Collectively, the DGEIS and the FGEIS are referred to as the "**STAMP GEIS**"); and

WHEREAS, the purposes of the STAMP GEIS were to identify and evaluate the potential significant adverse environmental impacts of STAMP, compare the reasonable alternatives, and, where applicable, to identify reasonable mitigation measures to reduce the effect of those impacts to the maximum extent practicable, while weighing the substantial potential social and economic benefits of STAMP; and

WHEREAS, the GCEDC, as lead agency, issued a written Findings Statement based on the STAMP GEIS on March 12, 2012 ("**2012 GCEDC Findings**") approving the Project and committing to undertake it; and

WHEREAS, the Agency prepared a smart growth impact statement ("**SGIS**") for the Project pursuant to the State Smart Growth Public Infrastructure Policy Act separately from the STAMP GEIS in February, 2012; and

WHEREAS, in 2016, a number of changes were made to the Project including changes to the STAMP master plan including an expansion of the footprint of STAMP, demolition of additional houses along Crosby Road, construction of a new municipal water system to serve the residents of the Town of Alabama, and construction of a new sewer force main to discharge wastewater from STAMP to the Village of Medina wastewater treatment plant ("**Medina Force Main**"), twelve miles north of the STAMP Site ("**2016 Project Modifications**"); and

WHEREAS, the 2016 Project Modifications necessitated further environmental review and such review was undertaken by the Agency to determine whether the 2016 Project Modifications would result in any significant adverse environmental impacts not previously addressed in the STAMP GEIS; and

WHEREAS, in July of 2016 the Agency adopted an Amended Findings Statement to the FGEIS ("**2016 Amended Findings**") approving the 2016 Project Modifications in accordance with the requirements of the STAMP GEIS and SEQR; and

WHEREAS, together, the STAMP GEIS, the 2012 GCEDC Findings, the SGIS and the 2016 Amended Findings constitute the prior environmental reviews for STAMP (collectively, these documents are referred to as the "**STAMP Environmental Record**"); and

WHEREAS, the Agency is now reviewing whether to undertake or approve the current Project Modifications in accordance with the requirements of the STAMP Environmental Record and SEQR and must determine whether the Project Modifications have the potential to have any significant adverse environmental impacts that were not previously analyzed and addressed in the STAMP Environmental Record; and

WHEREAS, to aid the Agency in determining whether the Project Modifications have the potential to have any significant adverse environmental impacts that were not addressed in the STAMP Environmental Record, the Agency has completed, received and/or reviewed (1) Part 1 of a Full Environmental Assessment Form ("**EAF**"), dated June 13, 2020; (2) a Force Main, Main Pump Station, & Onsite WWTF Basis of Design Report ("**Sanitary BODR**") prepared by CPL dated June 2020; (3) a STAMP 6 MDG Water Basis of Design Report ("**Water BODR**") prepared by CPL dated June 2020; (4) the STAMP Discharge Analysis to Oak Orchard Creek ("**Oak Orchard Analysis**") prepared by JM Davidson Engineering dated May 22, 2020; (5) Environmental Assessment of the STAMP Offsite Sanitary & Process Wastewater Sewer Force Main for the Iroquois National Wildlife Refuge ("**Iroquois EA**") dated May 2020; (6) a STAMP Water Main/Force Main/Station Wetland and Stream Impacts Memo ("**Wetlands and Stream Memo**") prepared by CC Environment & Planning dated June 26, 2020; (7) a draft Pre-Construction Notification for Offsite Wastewater ("**Wastewater PCN**") prepared by CC Environment & Planning dated July 2020; (8) a draft Pre-Construction Notification for Offsite Water Main ("**Water Main PCN**") prepared by CC Environment & Planning dated July 2020; and (9) other relevant environmental information (collectively, 1-9 shall be referred to as the "**Environmental Information**"); and

WHEREAS, a thorough analysis of the Environmental Information and potential environmental impacts associated with the Project Modifications reveals that the Project



Modifications will not have any potentially significant adverse environmental impacts that were not previously analyzed and addressed in the STAMP Environmental Record.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE GENESEE COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AGENCY AS FOLLOWS:

**Section 1.** Based upon a thorough review and examination of the Project Modifications and Environmental Information, and upon the Agency's knowledge of the area surrounding the STAMP Site and such further investigation of the Project Modifications and its environmental effects as the Agency has deemed appropriate, the Agency makes the following findings with respect to the Project Modifications:

(A) The Project Modifications are a Type I Action;

(B) The Agency, as Lead Agency for the Project, has undertaken a coordinated review of the Project Modifications in accordance with SEQR and the STAMP Environmental Record; and

**Section 2.** Based upon the Agency's review of the Environmental Information and investigations of the potential environmental impacts associated with the Project Modifications, considering both the magnitude and importance of each potential environmental impact indicated, and upon the Agency's knowledge of the STAMP Site and surrounding area and such further investigations of the Project Modifications and its environmental effects as the Agency has deemed appropriate, the Agency has determined that there are no significant adverse environmental impacts associated with the Project Modifications that were not analyzed and addressed in the STAMP Environmental Record. The Agency bases this determination upon the following findings with respect to the Project Modifications:

1. Project Modifications. The Project Modifications include all actions necessary to undertake the following:
  - a) Construction of a wastewater treatment facility ("WWTF") on the STAMP Site within the utility infrastructure area to treat the sanitary wastewater generated by STAMP's manufacturing and other tenants. The WWTF will not treat the manufacturing tenants' process wastewater, rather, the tenants will treat their own process wastewaters directly before discharging to the main pump station wet well. The main pump station will then pump the combined flows through a proposed force main ("**Force Main**") to a new discharge point to be located on Oak Orchard Creek in the Town of Shelby, Orleans County, New York. While the design of the WWTF has been



updated, the GEIS included an analysis of the impacts for a previous design of a WWTF on the STAMP Site.

- b) Construction of the Force Main which involves the installation of approximately 44,750 linear feet of sanitary force main, metering vaults, and maintenance manholes along the same route as the Medina Force Main previously analyzed in the STAMP Environmental Record. The vast majority of installation of sewer related infrastructure will be along public roads via a combination of open cut method and directional drill methods. The Force Main will convey up to six (6) million gallons per day (“**MGD**”) of treated wastewater from the STAMP Site to a new discharge in Oak Orchard Creek.
- c) A new water main (“**Water Main**”) of approximately 23,000 linear feet to be installed within the Town of Alabama along the Lewiston Road right of way between the Genesee County line with Niagara County and the STAMP Site. The new water main will bring up to six (6) MGD of water from the Niagara County Water District (“**NCWD**”) to the STAMP Site. The layout of the water main system has been extended, however, the STAMP Environmental Record includes an analysis of the impacts for the construction of a new municipal water system to serve the residents of the Town of Alabama and provide water service to the STAMP Site.
- d) The development of an approximate 20 acre parcel located immediately north of STAMP (“**Substation Parcel**”). This landlocked parcel lies between the STAMP Site and a utility corridor which houses the Empire Pipeline and a 345 KV Power Line which will ultimately supply power to STAMP tenants. This parcel is located to the west of the intersection of Lewiston Road (NYS Route 77) and Crosby Road in the Town of Alabama and is bounded by the Empire Pipeline/345 KV Power Line utility corridor to the north, hedgerows to the east and south, and woods and brush to the west. It is anticipated that this parcel will be the site of an electrical substation. The STAMP Environmental Record includes an analysis of the impacts of minor expansions of the STAMP Site as well as the impacts for the demand for energy for the Project, and potential infrastructure improvements relating to the same.

- 2. Impact on Land. The Project Modifications are not anticipated to create any potentially significant adverse impacts to land resources or land use. The Project Modifications will be conducted in phases with respect to the WWTF in response to increased generation of wastewater by tenants on the STAMP

Site. The WWTF and substation portions of the Project Modifications are consistent with current uses of the STAMP Site, which are commercial and industrial in nature. The remainder of the Project Modifications consist of the construction of the Force Main and Water Main in existing right of ways. Thus, while there will be temporary and minor impacts to land use including the development of the Substation Parcel for a substation), the Project Modifications will not change the intensity or type of uses authorized at the STAMP Site and the zoning for the Substation Parcel will not change. Accordingly, the Project Modifications are not anticipated to create any potentially significant adverse impacts to land resources or land use that were not analyzed in the STAMP Environmental Record.

3. Impact on Geological Features. The STAMP Site, the Substation Parcel and the water and sewer main routes does not contain, and is not adjacent to, any unique geologic features or National Natural Landmarks. Accordingly, the Project Modifications are not anticipated to create any potentially significant adverse impacts to geological features that were not analyzed in the STAMP Environmental Record.
4. Impact on Surface Water. As noted in the Wastewater PCN, the Water Main PCN, Wetlands and Stream Memo, and EAF, the Project Modifications will involve construction in and around existing surface water features. As thoroughly outlined in the Environmental Information, the construction of the Force Main and Water Main will be done through horizontal directional drilling methods (“HDD”) in order to minimize impacts to wetlands. The impacted areas will be returned to existing conditions when construction is complete, and all applicable permits will be secured prior to the construction of the Project Modifications. As the Oak Orchard Analysis details, the proposed discharge from the Force Main to the Oak Orchard Creek is a very small quantity compared to the overall statistical peak discharges. The outflow from the WWTF will be treated sanitary wastewater, and will not have an adverse impact on the quality of the water in Oak Orchard Creek. A stormwater retention pond is proposed in order to mitigate any potential adverse impacts due to the increase in impermeable surfaces associated with the WWTF. Finally, while there are wetland resources on the Substation Parcel, all such areas will be avoided by the proposed substation on this parcel. Accordingly, the Project Modifications do not pose any risk of significant adverse impacts on water that were not analyzed in the STAMP Environmental Record.
5. Impact on Groundwater. The Project Modifications do not entail the types of activities or operations that would be associated with any risks to groundwater. While the EAF notes the storage of aluminum sulfate as a part

of the Project Modifications (at the WWTF), the Sanitary BODR details that this material will be stored in a chemical bulk storage area located in the headworks and control building of the WWTF. Spill prevention measures, including double containment per NYSDEC bulk storage requirements, will be included to mitigate any risk to groundwater. Accordingly, the Project Modifications are not anticipated to create any potentially significant adverse impacts to groundwater that were not analyzed in the STAMP Environmental Record.

6. Impact on Flooding. The only portion of the Project Modifications that is located within the 100-Year Floodplain is the outlet discharge of the Force Main into Oak Orchard Creek. The discharge itself will not be a significantly large impervious surface. The Oak Orchard Analysis directly addresses the discharge from the Force Main and concludes that the increased discharge from the Force Main will have a negligible effect on the elevations in Oak Orchard Creek, without significant observable changes to the anticipated water surface elevations. Accordingly, the Project Modifications are not anticipated to create any potentially significant adverse impacts to flooding that were not analyzed in the STAMP Environmental Record.
7. Impact on Air. The Project Modifications will not be a significant source of air emissions. The Project Modifications do not entail the types of activities or operations that require the Applicant to acquire an Air Facility Permit or that are associated with a significant potential for air emissions. Any impacts to air quality from construction activities will be minor, and temporary in nature. Accordingly, the Project Modifications are not anticipated to create any significant adverse impacts to air resources that were not analyzed in the STAMP Environmental Record.
8. Impact on Plants and Animals. The Project Modifications will take place in habitat for various plants and animals noted in the EAF and Iroquois EA, including several species listed as threatened or endangered. The Iroquois EA lays out the potential impacts to such species as well as the mitigation that is proposed in order to reduce impacts to the same. As detailed in the Iroquois EA, the mitigation measures reduce the potential impact of the Project Modifications such that they are not likely to adversely affect the species noted in the Environmental Information. Accordingly, the Project Modifications are not anticipated to create any significant adverse impacts to plants, animals or natural communities, or wildlife habitat that were not analyzed in the STAMP Environmental Record.
9. Impact on Agricultural Land Resources. The Substation Parcel, which will be developed with an electrical substation, is within an Agricultural District,



however, the EAF notes that the Site does not contain highly productive soils. The STAMP Environmental Record also includes an analysis of the impacts of conversion of the STAMP Site from Agricultural use and minor expansions of the STAMP Site and potential infrastructure improvements relating to the same. As described in the Environmental Information, the Force Main and Water Main will be constructed within existing rights of way to avoid impacts to agricultural resources. The WWTF will be constructed on the STAMP Site, within the existing utility infrastructure area. Therefore, the Project Modifications are not anticipated to create any significant adverse impacts to agricultural land resources that were not analyzed in the STAMP Environmental Record.

10. Impact on Aesthetic Resources. The Project Modifications will generally not be visible from any officially designated federal, state or local scenic or aesthetic resource. The Force Main and Water Main, both of which will pass through sensitive environmental resource areas, will be buried underground, and the remainder of the Project Modifications are situated on or adjacent to the STAMP Site, which is anticipated to be a well-developed commercial and industrial area. Accordingly, the Project Modifications are not anticipated to create any significant adverse impacts to aesthetic resources that were not analyzed in the STAMP Environmental Record.
11. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources. The Project Modifications do not contain, nor are they adjacent to, a building, or district which is listed on, or that has been nominated to the State or National Register of Historic Places. The Project Modifications do include a number of areas designated as sensitive for archeological sites by the NYS Historic Preservation Office, however, the Agency has been engaged in on-going coordinated with the NYS Historic Preservation Office and other regulatory agencies for all development of the STAMP Site and such coordination will continue for the Project Modifications to ensure that there are no significant adverse impacts to cultural resources and/or that any such impacts are appropriately mitigated. Impacts to historic and archaeological resources are analyzed extensively in the STAMP Environmental Record and resulted in a programmatic approach to mitigate impacts to historic and archeological resources. Accordingly, it is not anticipated that the Project Modifications will create any significant adverse impacts to historical or archaeological resources that were not addressed in the STAMP Environmental Record.
12. Impact on Open Space and Recreation. The Site does not comprise public open space nor is the Site or surrounding area currently used for public recreation. Accordingly, the Project Modifications are not anticipated to



create any significant impacts to open space or recreational resources that were not analyzed in the STAMP Environmental Record.

13. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas. The Project Modifications are not located in or substantially contiguous to any Critical Environmental Areas. As such, the Project Modifications are not anticipated to create any significant adverse impacts to Critical Environmental Areas that were not analyzed in the STAMP Environmental Record.
14. Impact on Transportation. The Project Modifications do not involve any of the activities which would be associated with an increased demand for transportation. While there will be minor and temporary traffic impacts associated with the installation of the water and sewer infrastructure along public rights of way, such impacts (and mitigation measures associated with same) are thoroughly analyzed in the STAMP Environmental Record. Accordingly, the Project Modifications are not anticipated to create any significant adverse impacts to transportation that were not analyzed in the STAMP Environmental Record.
15. Impact on Energy. The Project Modifications will result in a minor increase in the use of energy, however, a proposed substation is included in the Project Modifications to ensure that impacts to the local grid are minimized. The STAMP Environmental Record includes an analysis of the impacts of minor expansions of the STAMP Site as well as the impacts for the demand for energy for the Project, and potential infrastructure improvements relating to the same. As such, the Project Modifications will not create any significant adverse impacts to energy resources that were not analyzed in the STAMP Environmental Record.
16. Impact on Noise, Odor and Light. The Project Modifications are not expected to appreciably increase ambient noise levels or to create odors. The EAF and Sanitary BODR detail that the processing of wastewater will take place within an enclosed building designed to mitigate all odors associated with the process. No odors are expected to be experienced outside of such structures as a part of the operations of the WWTF. The EAF explains that lighting fixtures servicing the Project Modifications will be limited to the vicinity of the Site for maintenance and emergency purposes, and that such lights will be Dark Sky Compliant consistent with mitigation requirements in the STAMP Environmental Record. Moreover, any impacts to noise and/or odor from construction activities will be minor, and temporary in nature and have been thoroughly analyzed in the STAMP Environmental Record. Accordingly, the Project Modifications are not anticipated to create any significant adverse

impacts to noise, odors or light that were not analyzed in the STAMP Environmental Record.

17. Impact on Public Health. The Project Modifications do not entail the types of activities or operations that are associated with a significant potential for affecting public health, such as storing large amounts of hazardous or toxic materials. A portion of the Site is listed in the NYSDEC Spills Incidents Database (DEC ID 9607396), however, that incident was listed as "Closed" due to the successful remediation of soils in 2004. The WWTF's design and operation are laid out extensively in the Sanitary BODR, and will be necessary to service the STAMP Site in a safe, environmentally friendly manner. Accordingly, the Project Modifications are not anticipated to create any significant adverse impact to public health that were not analyzed in the STAMP Environmental Record.
  
18. Impact on Character of the Community, and Community Plans. The Project Modifications will not result in significant population growth, and is in character with adjacent development and surrounding uses. Accordingly, the Project Modifications are not anticipated to create any significant adverse impacts to the growth or character of the community that were not analyzed in the STAMP Environmental Record.

**Section 3.** Having considered the Environmental Information, STAMP Environmental Record, and having considered the relevant environmental impacts, facts and conclusions relied upon to meet the requirements of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. § 617.11, and having weighed and balanced the relevant impacts with social, economic and other considerations, the Agency recertifies that:

- (i) The requirements of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. Part 617 have been met; and
  
- (ii) Consistent with the social, economic and other essential considerations from among the reasonable alternatives available, the Project remains one which avoids or minimizes adverse environmental effects to the maximum extent practicable, and that adverse environmental impacts will be avoided or minimized to the maximum extent practicable by incorporating as conditions to the decision those mitigative measures which were identified as practicable.

**Section 4.** The officers, employees and agents of the Agency are hereby authorized and directed for and in the name and on behalf of the Agency to do all acts and things required and to execute and deliver all such certificates, instruments and documents, to pay all such fees, charges and expenses and to do all such further acts and things as may be necessary or, in the opinion of the officer, employee or agent acting, desirable and proper to effect the purposes of the foregoing resolutions and to

cause compliance by the Agency with all of the terms, covenants and provisions of the documents executed for and on behalf of the Agency.

**Section 5.** These Resolutions shall take effect immediately.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Resolution was duly put to a vote on roll call, which resulted as follows:

The foregoing Resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.



**Full Environmental Assessment Form**  
**Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts**

Agency Use Only [If applicable]

Project : STAMP 2020 Project Modifications  
 Date :

**Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency.** Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency **and** the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

**Tips for completing Part 2:**

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

<b>1. Impact on Land</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	
Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	D1e	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	B1i	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**2. Impact on Geological Features**

The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhibit access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g)  
 If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.

NO  YES

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached: _____	E2g	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature: _____	E3c	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Other impacts: _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**3. Impacts on Surface Water**

The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h)  
 If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.

NO  YES

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E2h	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities.	D1a, D2d	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

l. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------------------------	--	--------------------------	--------------------------

**4. Impact on groundwater**  NO  YES

The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquifer. (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t)  
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source: <u>  EAF Part I  </u>	D2c	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E2l	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E2l	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**5. Impact on Flooding**  NO  YES

The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2)  
If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade?	E1e	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

g. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------------------------	--	--------------------------	--------------------------

**6. Impacts on Air**

The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h, D.2.g)

NO  YES

*If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.*

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N <sub>2</sub> O) iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF <sub>6</sub> ) v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2h	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**7. Impact on Plants and Animals**

The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. m.-q.)

NO  YES

*If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.*

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E2p	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E3c	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source: _____	E2n	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source: _____	E1b	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
j. Other impacts: _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<b>8. Impact on Agricultural Resources</b>			
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. and b.)		<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES
<i>If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.</i>			
	<b>Relevant Part I Question(s)</b>	<b>No, or small impact may occur</b>	<b>Moderate to large impact may occur</b>
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.	E2c, E3b	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).	E1a, E1b	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.	E3b	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.	E1b, E3a	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system.	E1 a, E1b	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland.	C2c, C3, D2c, D2d	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan.	C2c	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h. Other impacts: _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources**  
 The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part I. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.)  
*If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.*

NO       YES

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E3h	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is: i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work ii. Recreational or tourism based activities	E3h E2q, E1c	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile 1/2 -3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile	D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources**  
 The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part I. E.3.e, f. and g.)  
*If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.*

NO       YES

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historical Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places.	E3e	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory. Source: _____	E3g	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

d. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Moderate to large impact may occur", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
i. The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property.	E3e, E3g, E3f	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
ii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<b>11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation</b> The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.</i>			
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES
	<b>Relevant Part I Question(s)</b>	<b>No, or small impact may occur</b>	<b>Moderate to large impact may occur</b>
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b, E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c, E1c, E2q	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<b>12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas</b> The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.</i>			
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES
	<b>Relevant Part I Question(s)</b>	<b>No, or small impact may occur</b>	<b>Moderate to large impact may occur</b>
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**13. Impact on Transportation**

The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems.  
(See Part 1. D.2.j)

 NO YES

*If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 14.*

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**14. Impact on Energy**

The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy.  
(See Part 1. D.2.k)

 NO YES

*If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.*

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	D1g	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. Other Impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light**

The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor lighting.  
(See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.)

 NO YES

*If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.*

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.	D2m	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D2m, E1d	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.	D2o	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.	D2n	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.	D2n, E1a	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**16. Impact on Human Health**

The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. and h.)  
If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.

NO

YES

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	E1d	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	E1g, E1h	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	E1g, E1h	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	E1g, E1h	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	E1g, E1h	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	E1f, E1g E1h	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	E1f, E1g	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
l. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
m. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**17. Consistency with Community Plans**

The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans.  
(See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.)

NO

YES

*If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.*

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, E1b	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h. Other: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**18. Consistency with Community Character**

The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character.  
(See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)

NO

YES

*If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.*

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.	E3e, E3f, E3g	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)	C4	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.	C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.	C2, E3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.	C2, C3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape.	C2, C3 E1a, E1b E2g, E2h	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**PRINT FULL FORM**

**Full Environmental Assessment Form**  
**Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts**  
**and**  
**Determination of Significance**

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

**Reasons Supporting This Determination:**

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to occur.
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
- Attach additional sheets, as needed.

The Agency has determined that there are no significant adverse environmental impacts associated with the Project Modifications that were not analyzed and addressed in the STAMP Environmental Record, as detailed in the attached SEQRA Resolution.

**Determination of Significance - Type 1 and Unlisted Actions**

SEQR Status:       Type 1                       Unlisted

Identify portions of EAF completed for this Project:     Part 1             Part 2             Part 3

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information

and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the \_\_\_\_\_ as lead agency that: N/A - See above description and attached SEQRA Impact Resolution.

A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an environmental statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.

B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.7(d)).

C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.

Name of Action: Proposed Improvements to Western New York Science & Technology Advanced Manufacturing Park

Name of Lead Agency: Genesee County Industrial Development Agency

Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Mark A. Masse

Title of Responsible Officer: Senior Vice President of Operations

Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer) \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**For Further Information:**

Contact Person: Mark A. Masse

Address: 99 MedTech Drive, Suite 106, Batavia, NY 14020

Telephone Number: (585) 343-4866

E-mail: mmassee@gcedc.com

**For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:**

Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., Town / City / Village of)

Other involved agencies (if any)

Applicant (if any)

Environmental Notice Bulletin: <http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html>

**PRINT FULL FORM**



STAMP Project  
Overview of Town Board Approvals for Water-Works and Sewer-Works Corporations  
to Serve the STAMP Site  
June 25, 2020

- In order for the GGLDC to form a Water-Works Corporation (“WWC”) and a Sewer-Works Corporation (“SWC”) to serve the STAMP Site, the Town Board of the Town of Alabama must first grant the GGLDC permission to do so. The Town Board will receive the Basis of Design reports for both systems.
  
- For the WWC:
  - the GGLDC first files a notice of intent to form a WWC with the Town Board and the County Superintendent of Highways.
  - 10 days later, the GGLDC files a request for approval to form the WWC with the Town Board and the Town Superintendent of Highways.
  - The County Superintendent issues a recommendation to the Town.
  - After receipt of the County recommendation, both the Town Board and the Town Superintendent of Highways can issue their approvals to the GGLDC to form the WWC.
  - Thereafter, the GGLDC can files Articles of Incorporation, which must be accompanied by the Town’s approvals to form the WWC, with the NYS Department of State.
  
- For the SWC:
  - the GGLDC first files a request for approval to form the SWC with the County Health Department.
  - Thereafter, the GGLDC files a request for approval to form the SWC with the Town Board.
  - After receipt of County DOH approval, the Town Board can issue its approval to the GGLDC to form the SWC.
  - Thereafter, the GGLDC can files Articles of Incorporation, which must be accompanied by the Town and County DOH approvals to form the SWC, with the NYS Department of State.

STAMP Project  
Overview of Town Board Approvals for Sewer-Works Corporations to Serve the  
STAMP Site  
June 25, 2020

- In order for the GGLDC to form a Sewer-Works Corporation (“SWC”) to serve the STAMP Site with in a discharge point in the Town of Shelby, the Town Board of the Town of Shelby must first grant the GGLDC permission to do so. The Town Board recently received the Basis of Design report for the system as part of a package of information relating to a SEQR update for STAMP.
- For the SWC:
  - the GGLDC first files a request for approval to form the SWC with the County Health Department.
  - Thereafter, the GGLDC files a request for approval to form the SWC with the Town Board.
  - After receipt of County DOH approval, the Town Board can issue its approval to the GGLDC to form the SWC.
  - Thereafter, the GGLDC can files Articles of Incorporation, which must be accompanied by the Town and County DOH approvals to form the SWC, with the NYS Department of State.